



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,519	04/30/2001	Edward Louis Wellner	00-mAE2-326	3386

7590 02/25/2003
Marvin L. Union
Eaton Corporation
Eaton Center
1111 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44114-2584

EXAMINER

DONOVAN, LINCOLN D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2832

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/845,519

Applicant(s)
Wellner et al.

Examiner
Lincoln Donovan

Art Unit
2832



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 15, 2002
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 9-12 and 25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 9 and 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 10-12 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on Oct 15, 2002 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 9 and 25 (applicant's newly submitted claim 21 renumbered 25 under rule 1.126) rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krasser et al. [US 6,040,747] in view of Yu [US 6,307,460].

Krasser et al. discloses an overcurrent circuit breaker [figure 8] comprising:

- a housing [1];
- a pair of separable contacts [13, 16, 17] mounted within the housing;
- an operating mechanism [20] for opening and closing the separable contacts;
- first and second terminals [7, 8] connected with the separable contacts;
- an electrically conductive support mechanism [38, figure 4] mounted in the housing; and
- a bimetal [56, figure 7] assembly responsive to selected conditions of current flowing through the separable contacts for actuating the operating mechanism to trip open the separable contacts, the bimetal assembly having first and second legs [57, 58] and an a free intermediate section

Art Unit: 2832

[62] which deflects in response to the selected conditions of current to actuate the operating mechanism with the first leg [58] being electrically connected to the support mechanism, the second leg being electrically connected to one of the contacts and the second leg being electrically connected to the first terminal and the support mechanism electrically interconnected to one of the contacts.

Krasser et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for: the specific connection arrangement of the bimetal to the contacts and terminals.

Yu discloses a bimetal assembly [figure 1] having a pair of legs wherein one of which is connected to a terminal and the other is connected to a contact support.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the design of Yu with the bimetal assembly design of Krasser et al., for the purpose of facilitating terminal mounting.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10-25-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Krasser et al. does not teach or suggest an electrically conductive

Art Unit: 2832

support mechanism with a first leg of a bimetal engaging and being electrically connected thereto and the support member being electrically connected to with one of the separable contact. Applicant has not specified any specific connections of the legs other than "the bimetal overcurrent assembly having first and second legs...with the first leg engaging and being electrically connected to said support mechanism, with the second leg electrically connected to the first terminal, and with said support mechanism electrically interconnected with said first one of said separable contacts." Yu shows a bimetallic element having a leg electrically connected to a terminal and separable contact. Krasser et al. discloses a bimetal element having a leg electrically connected to a terminal, support element and separable contact.

Applicant has not claimed, nor has examiner considered, a mechanical and electrical arrangement of the bimetallic assembly.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

Art Unit: 2832

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

LDD

February 21, 2003


LINCOLN DONOVAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2100